

ANDERSON COUNTY CHILD SUPPORT DIVISION

P.O. BOX 6197

OAK RIDGE, TN 37831-3814

PHONE (865) 482-6001

FAX (865) 482-5786

March 8, 2004

New Jersey Central Registry
Interstate Unit
P.O. Box 960
Trenton, NJ 08625

RE: Mary Jarnigan vs Anthony Laine
TN Case # 112287

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing this letter to you at the request of the Tennessee Central Registry and the Anderson County IV-D Administrator to advise you about this case in the hopes that Mr. Laine will not be given the opportunity to stall this case. We are requesting that your state register the valid Arizona court order to enforce the collection of arrears owed to the State of Tennessee. The parties were originally divorced in Anderson County, Tennessee but the divorce decree reserved the issue of child support. A URESA petition was then filed to the State of Arizona, which obtained an order for current support, and past support. Mr. Laine stopped paying in September of 1995 even though current support was due for this child. Mr. Laine then moved to the State of Missouri and a JIFSA was filed. The order was registered in Missouri and they started enforcement proceedings on the case by issuing an income withholding and we did receive payments for awhile.

Mr. Laine then moved to the State of New Jersey in an effort to avoid his child support debt. Since this was a difficult case to enforce, Missouri left their case open and left the wage withholding order in place as long as the payments were coming in. Mr. Laine filed an Order of Dismissal in the US District Court (copy attached) in an effort to avoid paying his child support debt by income withholding through Missouri. His request was denied because the US District Court did not have jurisdiction to get involved in this case. Mr. Laine feels that this dismissal order was in his favor and has repeatedly stated that we do not have the right to pursue him for child support.

Both children have emancipated and this case is arrears only. Laine has been very vocal in his letters and numerous phone calls, and emails that he has sent to the Governors of three different states.

Mr. Laine has repeatedly told our office, our Central Registry, Arizona child support agencies, and Missouri child support agencies, that these children were adopted and he does not owe this money, however, no such adoption order exists and adoption is denied by Mary Jarnigan.

COPY

The petitioner, Mary Jarnigan, signed a sworn affidavit stating that the children were not adopted. We have always responded to his letters with the same request, if the children were in fact adopted, he will need to send our office a copy of the adoption order. He has never provided our office a copy of the adoption order nor has he provided our office with a copy of any other order that states the Arizona court order is not valid and he does not owe a child support debt. Mr. Laine has a PH.D and has the ability to earn more than enough money to make the arrears payment on this case. Please contact me personally if you have any questions in regards to this case or need additional documentation to move forward in the collection of the arrears owed in this case. I have attached a copy of this letter to of the UIFSA packets.

Sincerely,

Devonne Moore

Devonne Moore

CSE- Interstate Specialist